



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,590	07/23/2003	Dirk Heinrich	233812US0	7530	
	7590 . 11/20/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1792			
i	l				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
1	i		11/20/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/624,590	HEINRICH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marianne L. Padgett	1792	

·	Marianne L. Padgett	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as the formal formal extension for the final rejection, even if timely filed, and replaced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. M The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:	•						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10,11,15-17,19 and 22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/\$B/08) Paper No(s)							
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. MARIANNE PADGETT							
PRIMARY EXAMINER							
	INAMONI H 1/A	1					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

In claim 15, applicants have amended the last line to insert "Hz" with no space between it and "before" to thus read "... to 10,000 Hzbefore melting.." which creates a new issue of a nonexistent word/typographical error, but also from a literally opened ended range, the now more limited range for smoothing via induction heating, if considered as with corrected spacinbg as probably intended, is also formally a new issue, because the smoothing step was not previously so limited.

Continuation of 5.

Applicants' reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Removes the 112, first paragraph rejections set forth in section 1 of the action mailed 6/5/2007.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants state that their process is coating via a "whirl sintering tank", however no such tank, procedure or whatever it is, is claimed. Applicants further stated "the Facer description..., which according to the examiner would inherently achieve a "whirl sintering tank", however the examiner on page 7 of the office action as alleged by applicant, made no such assertion, and could not possibly have made any such assertion, being unfamiliar with this terminology. The examiner further notes that she found no mention of "whirl sintering tank" mentioned on page 3 in or around the citation thereon provided by applicant.

Continuation of 13. Other:

With respect to applicants initial comment at the start of page 6 of the response, note that the claims do not require the process to be "chromium free, but the outer coating to be "chromate-free" in the preamble & in the body of the claims require the pipe not be treated with "chromate" & it is noted that chromate is a salt of chromic acid which contains the appellant CrO4= radical.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/624,590	HEINRICH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marianne L. Padgett	1792	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>05 November 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following

Continuation of 4(e) Other:

The amendment of claim 10 is informal/noncompliant as it repeats the previous amendment made 3/12/2007.

With respect to 4(A), claim 22 introduced as a new claim in the 3/12/2007 amendment, is missing from the present claim listing.